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## Today

- Unprecedented challenges in unprecedented times.
- BUT with technological progress and new generation on the job market, also present us all in public sector assessment and recruitment with a real window of opportunity;
- By its very nature, the public sector needs a high quality, modern and demonstrably fair recruitment process.


## Two key challenges:

- managing in times of austerity;
- impending retirement of the baby boom generation - with the significant depletion of expertise and experience and inevitable necessity to do more, with less;
- The workforce gap generated by these retirements can in part be filled through recruitment.


## Two sides of a coin

- Whilst many parts of the world are facing the retirement of an entire generation, others emerging economies - have an urgent need to build the capacity and capability of their public management systems to keep up with and benefit from economic growth.


## Workforce planning: recruitment as part of talent management



## Talent attraction: Employer Value Proposition

- Need to:
- attract new talent for the future, but current leaders must understand and accept that we require a modern, attractive and not outdated selection process for future generations;


## What do you believe in?

- FIRST STEP = an employee-centric, solid and inspirational Employer Value Proposition (EVP) that convinces the target population why they should work for you.


## European Personnel Selection Office (EPSO)

## Atirating talent

- Creation of a solid EVP;
- Creation of an employer brand - careers which has helped EPSO compete successfully in the war for talent, has vastly improved the employer image of the EU make it more relevant to today's job seekers and where there is more effort to achieve better diversity to reflect the society we serve!
- Put in place modern, multi-lingual, multi-profile, efficient and effective selection methods.


## Selecting talent

- Putting in place a modern, efficient and effective selection process that identifies the right person for the right job and that is a positive advert for your organisation;
- Key steps: job analysis to identify key competencies and skills, putting in place robust, multi-stage sifting process: most likely using CBT/IBT and Assessment Centres


## Measuring success through KPl's

##  AND NEW WIETBUBS

## SUCCESSB

## The seale of the task

- 28 Member States;
- More than 650,000 candidates have participated in a selection process since 2003;
- Over 950 selection processes organised;
- 20,000 successful candidates (average of 2,200 per year);
- 16,000 successful candidates recruited (average of 1,800 per year);
- 24 language testing;
- Global testing across 80+ test centres;
- c. 170,000 computer-based tests delivered each year.


## time to hire

| Month 0 | Month 1-3 | Month 4-9 | Month $9-10$ | Month $10$ | Month 11-12 | Month 12 | Month 13 | Month 14 | Month $20$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Month } \\ & 21-23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Month } \\ & 24-30 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\stackrel{\vdash}{\infty}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & . \cong \\ & \frac{c}{O} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Person in job |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Timeline 2007-2010

2: Timeline 2010-2014
3: Timeline 2014 onwards

## Humber of hires



## Gost per hire

Minimum and average reported cost (selection and recruitment) compared to EU


- Most organisations do not make a distinction between selection and recruitment costs;
- Some organisations use executive search which increases costs considerably;
- EU costs are within the low band (based on 2004-2010, cost of laureate).


## 35132 candidates tested <br> 35132 candidates tested

34600 candidate questions answered (by phone, email, online)

545 requests for review


74 article 90

55 enquiries to ombudsman (0,16\%)

## Candidiate satisfaction

How satisfied were you with:


Overall, 91.2\% of candidates were satisfied or very satisfied with their AC experience

Mean score 4.43/5

## Stakcholder satisfaction

- In 2013, EPSO commissioned Panteia to conduct a survey among key stakeholders throughout ten selected EU Institutions.
- In total, a sample was constructed of 1779 HR officers, Heads of Unit and Directors across these Institutions.
- 759 people participated in the survey, resulting in a response rate of $42,7 \%$.
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## Employer Brand Rankinus

## Employer branding listings 2013
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## Banking of the Enropean Union in Enrope

| 2013 Rank | Employer | $2013 \%$ | 2012 Rank | $2012 \%$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Google | $8,21 \%$ | 1 | $7,53 \%$ |
| 2 | Apple | $6,30 \%$ | 2 | $7,37 \%$ |
| 3 | Ernst \& Young | $5,91 \%$ | 4 | $5,11 \%$ |
| 4 | PricewaterhouseCoopers | $5,09 \%$ | 6 | $4,83 \%$ |
| 5 | Volkswagen Group | $4,47 \%$ | 8 | $3,94 \%$ |
| 6 | Coca-Cola | $4,41 \%$ | 3 | $5,21 \%$ |
| 7 | KPMG | $4,31 \%$ | 7 | $4,08 \%$ |
| 8 | L'Oréal | $4,25 \%$ | 5 | $4,98 \%$ |
| 9 | BMW Group (BMW, Mini, Rolls-Royce) | $4,11 \%$ | 11 | $3,73 \%$ |
| 10 | Deloitte | $4,07 \%$ | 9 | $3,85 \%$ |
| 11 | Microsoft | $3,51 \%$ | 10 | $3,78 \%$ |
| 12 | LVMH | $3,38 \%$ | 12 | $3,51 \%$ |
| 13 | Procter \& Gamble | $3,30 \%$ | 14 | $2,98 \%$ |
| 14 | European Commission | $3,12 \%$ | 32 | $1,88 \%$ |
| 15 | Unilever | $3,03 \%$ | 17 | $2,66 \%$ |
| 16 | Nestlé | $2,99 \%$ | 15 | $2,87 \%$ |
| 17 | European Central Bank | $2,60 \%$ | 19 | $2,63 \%$ |
| 18 | IKEA | $2,41 \%$ | 24 | $2,33 \%$ |
| 19 | BCG The Boston Consulting Group | $2,38 \%$ | 30 | $1,99 \%$ |
| 20 | McKinsey \& Company | $2,37 \%$ | 26 | $2,12 \%$ |

## United Nations (UN)

## Attracting Talent contid

- Rethinking our brand
- Research
- Brainstorming and consultations
- Defining "who we are"
- International civil servants
- Our mandates and work


## Attracting Talent contid

## - Who we are looking for?

- People with integrity, who are fair and impartial and who:
- make a difference in the world motivates them
- are driven to be a part of a bigger purpose in the service of humanity
- are dynamic and adaptable professionals who think creatively and are proactive, flexible and responsive.
will travel to and work anywhere at a moment's notice
- thrive in an environment that:
- is truly international and multi-cultural
- respects and promotes diversity
- functions at its best through team efforts


## Atitracting talent contid

- Brand - Before
United (4) Nations
Programme Director) Deppry Exectutive Director (D2)
Capital Master Plan
UN Headquarters, New York

The CMP proget is the 51.9 billion renovation of the existing United Nations Healquarters
Reporiing to the Executive Discetof. the Programme Divector will

- Moet time and cost objectives with respect to cootroctinge. Schedeliling. estimating. quality




UNITED NATIONS


## Concours 2010 de recrutement

 d'interprètes de langue françaiseL'Organisation des Nations Unies prévoit d'organiser le 29 juin 2010 un concours de recrutement d'interprètes de langue française.

Les candidats doivent :
Avoir le français comme langue principale

- Avoir une excellente connaissance de l'anglais et du russe ;

Il sera fait appel aux lauréats inscrits sur la liste de réserve établie a l'issue du concours pour pourvoir les postes vacants ou qui
le deviendront dans les services d'interprétation de New York,
Genẻve, Vienne et Nairobi.
Les candidatures doivent être reçues le 14 mai 2010 au plus tard.
Les précisions sur les conditions d'inseription et le dépôt des candidatures sont disponibles à l'adresse internet ci-après :
http///www,un.ory/french/Depts/OHRM/examin/fexam.htm (Veillez à respecter l'emploi des majuscules et des minuscules)

- Brand - After

- Meet our Global Workforce


Why work at UN?
Who we are What we do

What can I do at UN?
What we look for Job Networks

What are my career options?
stoff cotegories Notional competitive

How do 1 apply?
Job operings


## Selecting talent

- Introduction of new Talent Management IT system (inspira), April 2012
- Single global system integrating:
- Staffing
- Performance Management
- Learning Management and Staff Development
- Workforce planning


## Measuring success through KPl's

- 1.2 million registered users through 2013
- 40,000 logins everyday on average
- 250 job openings posted every month
- 30,000 applications received every month
- 4,198 job openings for P2-P5 positions
- Young Professionals Programme (YPP)
- 36,000 applications in 2011; 41,000 in 2012; 23,000 in 2013
- 74,000 performance documents in the system
- 1,500 Support Centre requests per week


## The seale of the task

- 193 Member States
- Equitable Geographical Representation
- Un-represented: 15 countries
- Under-represented: 33 countries
- Gender Parity particularly in the field and senior levels
- Over 50 per cent of our 44,000 staff work in field locations around the world
- Over 100,000 personnel in 16 peacekeeping and 13 political missions
- 4,198 selections out of 764,268 applications for P2-P5 positions since 2010
- YPP successful candidates:
- 96 in 2012 and 104 in 2013 (out of 5,500 convoked to written test)


## Staffing Timeline



## Current 208 days



Target
143 days

## Current 80 days

## 



Target 40 days

High volume of applications

Too many applications
to be reviewed by the hiring manager

Prolonged staffing timeline

## Biggesthotileneck－Recommentation of candidates

| inspira applications | Step 1 | Manually Screened Applications | Step 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { inspira } \\ \text { job openings } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 764，268 | （55．5\％） | 344，696 | （98．8\％） | 4，198 |  |
| пи＂\％！ |  |  |  | － |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| \＃\＃m！ | 8 |  | 8 |  |  |
| ＂！ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 品！ | inspira |  | manual |  | PHP Desk Review |
| \％！ | auto screening | 年品！ | eening |  | Written Assessment |
| 㫙！ | － | \＃питит |  |  | CB Interviews |
|  |  |  | ， |  | Preparation of Evaluations |
| $\frac{1 m+u m!}{}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| !!!!! |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | －Manua | creening |  |  |  |

－Biggest bottleneck～ $80-100$ days on average
－Volume presents biggest challenge
－Average of $80-400$ PHPs per JO
－ 514 JOs with $100+$ screened in candidates in 2013

## Assessment Project

Automated


Online

Substantive Assessment

Prior to Manual Screening

## Assessment Project Process



- Item banks
- Fix weak items
- Provide feedback on process
- Develop 50 MCQ (SMEs + OHRM)
- Based on JOs
- Knowledge/Skills Based

- Build the Test Online
- Invite Candidates
- Administer the Test



## Pillot-Budyet Ofiice

- New York JO Budget Officer P4 \& P33
- 1175 - Applications
- 604 - Screened In Applicants
- 493 - Participated in Online Test
- 50 Multiple Choice Budget Related Questions
- 30 candidates on average selected for Manual Review
- 604 / 100 m.hrs vs. 30 / 5 m.hrs of screening


## Gandidate Feedhack

## Candidates who felt "the test was fair in

 assessing the skills required for the position to which I applied"Candidates who thought "the instructions to access \& complete the test were clear"

## Advantages

$95 \%$ reduction in application screening

- Efficiency gains over time
- Test Development prior to close of JO
- Increased validity
- Reduced cultural \& gender bias


## Employer Brand Ranking

The World's Most inDemand Employers 2013: 68 ${ }^{\text {th }}$

## Bloomberg Businessweek

50 Top Employers for College
Grads 2010: Top 20

Forbes Aream Employers for Liberal

$$
?
$$

